metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Tetraquabis(5-fluorosaccharinato) nickel(II)

Larnelle Peterson,^{a*} Jennifer Kelley,^a LeRoy Peterson Jr,^a Mark D. Smith^b and Hans-Conrad zur Loye^b

^aChemistry Department, Francis Marion University, Florence, South Carolina 29501, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA Correspondence e-mail: lpeterson@fmarion.edu

Received 2 February 2009; accepted 25 February 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 150$ K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.024 ; wR factor = 0.065 ; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.5 .

In the centrosymmetric title complex, $[Ni(C_7H_3FNO_3S)_2$ - $(H_2O)_4$, the Ni^{II} atom exhibits a slightly distorted *trans*- $NiN₂O₄$ octahedral coordination. The nitrogen donors are provided by two 5-fluorosaccharinate ligands and the oxygen donors are provided by four water molecules. The crystal structure features $O-H\cdots O$ and bifurcated $O-H\cdots (F,O)$ hydrogen bonds, the latter involving the F atom of the 5 fluorosaccharinate ligand.

Related literature

For a related structure; see: Haider et al. (1983). For background, see: Falvello et al. (2001); Khalil et al. (2005); Plenio (1997).

Experimental

Crystal data $[Ni(C_7H_3FNO_3S)_2(H_2O)_4]$ $M_r = 531.10$

Triclinic, P1 $a = 6.9649(3)$ Å

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2003) $T_{\min} = 0.887, T_{\max} = 1.000$ (expected range = 0.794–0.895) 6861 measured reflections 1858 independent reflections 1769 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.022$

Refinement

 $Z = 1$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 1.38$ mm⁻¹ $T = 150 \text{ K}$

 $0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.08$ mm

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (A) .

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA, \degree) .

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$; (ii) $-x, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iii) $-x, -y + 1, -z$; (iv) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.$

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

Financial support from the National Science Foundation, awards CHE-0314164 and CHE-0315152, is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2907).

References

Brandenburg, K. (2005). DIAMOND. [Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB1) Bruker (2003). SMART, SAINT-Plus and SADABS[. Bruker AXS, Inc.,](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB2) [Madison, Wisconsin, USA.](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB2)

[Falvello, L. R., Gomez, J., Pascual, I., Tomas, M., Urriolabeitia, E. P. & Schultz,](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB3) A. J. (2001). [Inorg. Chem.](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB3) 40, 4455–4463.

[Haider, S. Z., Malik, K. M. A., Ahmed, K. J., Hess, H., Riffel, H. & Hursthouse,](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB4) M. B. (1983). [Inorg. Chim. Acta](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB4), 72, 21–27.

- [Khalil, S., Peterson, L. Jr, Goforth, A. M., Hansen, T. J., Smith, M. D. & zur](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB5) Loye, H.-C. (2005). [J. Chem. Crystallogr.](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB5) 35, 405–411.
- [Plenio, H. \(1997\).](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB6) Chem. Rev. 97, 3363–3384. [Sheldrick, G. M. \(2008\).](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/cr.cgi?rm=pdfbb&cnor=hb2907&bbid=BB7) Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m364-m365 [[doi:10.1107/S1600536809007053](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1600536809007053)]

Tetraquabis(5-fluorosaccharinato)nickel(II)

[L. Peterson](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/citedin?search_on=name&author_name=Peterson,%20L.), [J. Kelley](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/citedin?search_on=name&author_name=Kelley,%20J.), [L. R. Peterson Jr,](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/citedin?search_on=name&author_name=Peterson%20Jr,%20L.R.) [M. D. Smith](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/citedin?search_on=name&author_name=Smith,%20M.D.) and [H.-C. zur Loye](http://scripts.iucr.org/cgi-bin/citedin?search_on=name&author_name=Loye,%20H.-C.%20zur)

Comment

The study of metal saccharinate complexes has been of current interest with respect to their incorporation into novel coordination polymers (Falvello *et al.*, 2001). As a continuation of our own efforts in this area (Khalil *et al.*, 2005), we have deemed it worthwhile to explore the solid state structures of metal organic compounds containing fluorinated saccharinates. The choice of fluorinated saccharinates stems from the novel types of interactions in which carbon bound fluorine may participate (Plenio, 1997). Our initial studies have led to the preparation of the title nickel complex (I) that contains 5-fluorosaccharinate (5-fsacch) as an anionic ligand.

The crystal structure of (I) consists of monomeric Ni(5-fsacch) $\gamma(H_2O)_4$ molecular units, as shown in Figure 1. The Ni^{II} atom, which lies on an inversion center, is octahedrally coordinated by a pair of *trans* N atoms from two equivalent 5-fsacch ligands, and by four O atoms from two pairs that contain equivalent water molecules (Table 1).

The average Ni—N and Ni—O bond distances in (I) are 2.086 Å (1) and 2.076 (2) Å, respectively. By comparison to a similar structure, in (I) the average Ni—N distance is shorter whereas the average Ni—O distance is longer than their corresponding values in the previously reported nickel saccharinate complex, namely Ni(sacch) $2(H_2O)_4.2(H_2O)$ (II) (sacch = saccharinate) (Haider *et al.*, 1983). In (II) the average Ni—N distance is 2.154 (1) Å, while the average Ni—O distance is 2.069 (2) Å. All angles in (I) are normal and are comparable to their corresponding values in (II).

The crystal structure in (I) features extensive hydrogen bonding (Table 2) in which both the carbonyl and sulfonyl O atoms of 5-fsacch, as well its carbon bound fluorine, act as hydrogen bond acceptors for the water H atoms, as shown in Fig. 2. This hydrogen bonding scheme is different from that of (II) for two major reasons. First, there is the presence of the previously mentioned C—F···H hydrogen bonding in (I) that is obviously absent in (II). Second, in (II) there exists hydrogen bonding involving lattice water molecules, which because of their absence in (I) precludes such interactions.

Experimental

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The synthesis of sodium 5-fluorosaccharinate will be described elsewhere. A 10 ml solution of sodium 5-fluorosaccharinate (0.10 mmol) was added dropwise to a 10.0 ml solution of nickel(II) chloride tetrahydrate (0.050 mmol). Light blue, block-like crystals of (I) were formed in about three weeks by slow evaporation after the solution volume was reduced to 5.0 ml under ambient conditions.

Refinement

Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon were placed in geometrically idealized positions and included as riding atoms with refined isotropic displacement parameters. The water H atoms were located in difference maps and refined freely.

Figures

Fig. 1. The coordination environment of Ni(II) in (I), with the atom-labeling scheme. The H atoms of 5-fsacch are omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids for nonhydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are represented by dashed lines.

Tetraquabis(5-fluorosaccharinato)nickel(II)

Crystal data

Data collection

Refinement

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction correction: none
methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O4—H4A···O2 0.81 (3) 2.51 (3) 3.1436 (19) 137 (3)

supplementary materials

Symmetry codes: (ii) −*x*, −*y*, −*z*; (iii) −*x*, −*y*+1, −*z*+1; (iv) −*x*, −*y*+1, −*z*; (i) −*x*+1, −*y*+1, −*z*+1.

Fig. 1

